

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,150	03/17/2004	Ronald P. Snyder	29020/407A	5042
4743 7.	590 09/12/2005		EXAM	INER
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP			PUROL, DAVID M	
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		J				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/803,150	SNYDER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David M. Purol	3634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY IN THE MAILING DAY IN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	arch 2004.				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	on of Claims					
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.					
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-24</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·				
	·	•				
Attachmen	• •	🗖				
2) Notice 3) Inform	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) ☐ Other:					
- 12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4,11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark in view of Rosenoy. Clark discloses a panel assembly comprising first and second curtains 85a,b, a connecting bar 91,92, a bottom bar 140, a windbar 90. While Clark does not disclose that the connecting bar is stiffer than the flexible curtain, Rosenoy discloses a panel assembly comprising a connecting bar 52 which is stiffer than the flexible curtain, wherein, to incorporate this teaching into the panel assembly of Clark for the purpose of further strengthening the panel assembly would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

2. Claims 5-8,14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark in view of Rosenoy as applied to claims 1-4,11-13 above, and further in view of Palmer. While Clark does not disclose the bottom bar as having two bar members, Palmer discloses a panel assembly having a bottom bar comprising two bar members 50,52-55, wherein, to incorporate this teaching into the panel assembly of Clark, as modified by Rosenoy, for the purpose of substituting a mechanical equivalent for another so as to obtain the advantages inherent therein such as ease of assembly would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,150

Art Unit: 3634

3. Claims 9,10,18,19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Page 3

Clark in view of Rosenoy as applied to claims 1-4,11-13 above, and further in view of

Miyagawa et al. While Clark does not disclose the use of deadweights, Miyagawa et al.

disclose a panel assembly which employs the use of deadweights 4a,b;5a,b;8a,b,

wherein, to incorporate this teaching into the panel assembly of Clark, as modified by

Rosenoy, for the purpose of preventing undesired movement of the panel assembly

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 20-24 are allowed. 4.

5. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent

to applicant's disclosure: Taylor, Thomas, Kirkey et al, Snyder, Varley et al, Gidge,

Groves et al, Pinkalla et al, Youngs et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David M. Purol 6.

at telephone number (571) 272-6833.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3634

DMP (571) 272-6833 September 4, 2005